



Complete Summary

GUIDELINE TITLE

Assessment and management of acute pain.

BIBLIOGRAPHIC SOURCE(S)

Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement (ICSI). Assessment and management of acute pain. Bloomington (MN): Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement (ICSI); 2004 Mar. 66 p. [152 references]

COMPLETE SUMMARY CONTENT

SCOPE
METHODOLOGY - including Rating Scheme and Cost Analysis
RECOMMENDATIONS
EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS
BENEFITS/HARMS OF IMPLEMENTING THE GUIDELINE RECOMMENDATIONS
CONTRAINDICATIONS
QUALIFYING STATEMENTS
IMPLEMENTATION OF THE GUIDELINE
INSTITUTE OF MEDICINE (IOM) NATIONAL HEALTHCARE QUALITY REPORT
CATEGORIES
IDENTIFYING INFORMATION AND AVAILABILITY

SCOPE

DISEASE/CONDITION(S)

Acute pain, including:

- Visceral pain
- Somatic pain
- Neuropathic pain

GUIDELINE CATEGORY

Evaluation
Management
Prevention

CLINICAL SPECIALTY

Anesthesiology
Emergency Medicine
Family Practice

Internal Medicine
Pediatrics

INTENDED USERS

Advanced Practice Nurses
Allied Health Personnel
Health Care Providers
Health Plans
Hospitals
Managed Care Organizations
Nurses
Physician Assistants
Physicians

GUIDELINE OBJECTIVE(S)

- To improve pain management through assessment of all patients throughout hospitalization including on admission, during hospital stay, and at discharge or during an outpatient visit
- To improve the appropriate selection and dosing of pain management treatment
- To increase the involvement of patients in pain management

TARGET POPULATION

Patients of all ages (from infants to the very elderly) who have acute pain or may be experiencing acute pain in the future (e.g., planned surgery)

Note: This guideline excludes patients with acute cancer pain, labor pain, and migraine headache (although many of the guideline's recommendations apply to those groups as well).

INTERVENTIONS AND PRACTICES CONSIDERED

Evaluation

1. Detailed history and physical examination to determine mechanism of pain (somatic, visceral, or neuropathic)
2. Pain assessment tools for adults (Visual analog scale [VAS], Numeric rating scales [NRS], Verbal description scales [VDS], Facial pain scales [FPS], Brief Pain Inventory [BPI]; McGill Pain Questionnaire [MPQ])
3. Pain assessment tools for children (Self-Report Measures, Poker Chip Tool, Faces Scale, Visual Analog Scale, Oucher Scale, Pain diary, Children's Hospital of Eastern Ontario Pain Scale [CHEOPS], CRIES [C-crying; R-requires oxygen; I-increased vital signs; E-expression; S-sleeplessness], Modified Behavior Pain Scale [MBPS], Postanesthetic Recovery Score)
4. Diagnostic work-up as indicated

Treatment/Management/Prevention

1. Patient education (e.g., audio-visual information; pain coping strategies; medication management and side effects; perioperative education)
2. Topical therapies, such as cold and heat
3. Pharmacologic treatment
 - Intravenous agents: nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs); opioids
 - Oral agents: anticonvulsants, antidepressants, antihistamines, anxiolytics, corticosteroids, hypnotics, local anesthetics, NSAIDs, opioids, tramadol
 - Rectal suppositories: Acetaminophen, NSAIDs, aspirin, indomethacin, opioids, phenothiazines
 - Topical agents: capsaicin, local anesthetics, eutectic mixture of local anesthetics (EMLA)
 - Subcutaneous agents: local anesthetics, opioids
4. Procedures such as neuraxial, regional, or sympathetic blocks
5. Adjuvant therapies
 - Alternative therapies (acupuncture, homeopathy, hypnosis, touch therapy, massage therapy)
 - Physical medicine and rehabilitation (gait aids, galvanic stimulation, physical therapy, support devices/garments, transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation, ultrasound)
 - Psychological therapies (behavioral therapy, biofeedback, cognitive behavioral therapy, counseling, hypnosis, relaxation)
6. Behavioral/cognitive interventions (desensitization; positive reinforcement; relaxation; preparation; memory change; hypnosis; thought stopping and positive self-statements; distraction; modeling and rehearsal)
7. Reassessment and specialty consult as indicated
8. Management of side effects of medications

MAJOR OUTCOMES CONSIDERED

- Validity and reliability of pain assessment tools
- Pain relief
- Adverse effects of medications

METHODOLOGY

METHODS USED TO COLLECT/SELECT EVIDENCE

Searches of Electronic Databases

DESCRIPTION OF METHODS USED TO COLLECT/SELECT THE EVIDENCE

Not stated

NUMBER OF SOURCE DOCUMENTS

Not stated

METHODS USED TO ASSESS THE QUALITY AND STRENGTH OF THE EVIDENCE

Weighting According to a Rating Scheme (Scheme Given)

RATING SCHEME FOR THE STRENGTH OF THE EVIDENCE

Key conclusions (as determined by the work group) are supported by a conclusion grading worksheet that summarizes the important studies pertaining to the conclusion. Individual studies are classed according to the system presented below, and are designated as positive, negative, or neutral to reflect the study quality.

Conclusion Grades:

Grade I: The evidence consists of results from studies of strong design for answering the question addressed. The results are both clinically important and consistent with minor exceptions at most. The results are free of any significant doubts about generalizability, bias, and flaws in research design. Studies with negative results have sufficiently large samples to have adequate statistical power.

Grade II: The evidence consists of results from studies of strong design for answering the question addressed, but there is some uncertainty attached to the conclusion because of inconsistencies among the results from the studies or because of minor doubts about generalizability, bias, research design flaws, or adequacy of sample size. Alternatively, the evidence consists solely of results from weaker designs for the question addressed, but the results have been confirmed in separate studies and are consistent with minor exceptions at most.

Grade III: The evidence consists of results from studies of strong design for answering the question addressed, but there is substantial uncertainty attached to the conclusion because of inconsistencies among the results of different studies or because of serious doubts about generalizability, bias, design flaws, or adequacy of sample size. Alternatively, the evidence consists solely of results from a limited number of studies of weak design for answering the question addressed.

Grade Not Assignable: There is no evidence available that directly supports or refutes the conclusion.

Study Quality Designations:

The quality of the primary research reports and systematic reviews are designated in the following ways on the conclusion grading worksheets:

Positive: indicates that the report or review has clearly addressed issues of inclusion/exclusion, bias, generalizability, and data collection and analysis.

Negative: indicates that these issues (inclusion/exclusion, bias, generalizability, and data collection and analysis) have not been adequately addressed.

Neutral: indicates that the report or review is neither exceptionally strong nor exceptionally weak.

Not Applicable: indicates that the report is not a primary reference or a systematic review and therefore the quality has not been assessed.

Classes of Research Reports:

A. Primary Reports of New Data Collection:

Class A:

- Randomized, controlled trial

Class B:

- Cohort study

Class C:

- Non-randomized trial with concurrent or historical controls
- Case-control study
- Study of sensitivity and specificity of a diagnostic test
- Population-based descriptive study

Class D:

- Cross-sectional study
- Case series
- Case report

B. Reports that Synthesize or Reflect upon Collections of Primary Reports:

Class M:

- Meta-analysis
- Systematic review
- Decision analysis
- Cost-effectiveness analysis

Class R:

- Consensus statement
- Consensus report
- Narrative review

Class X:

- Medical opinion

METHODS USED TO ANALYZE THE EVIDENCE

Review of Published Meta-Analyses
Systematic Review with Evidence Tables

DESCRIPTION OF THE METHODS USED TO ANALYZE THE EVIDENCE

Not stated

METHODS USED TO FORMULATE THE RECOMMENDATIONS

Not stated

RATING SCHEME FOR THE STRENGTH OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS

Not applicable

COST ANALYSIS

A formal cost analysis was not performed and published cost analyses were not reviewed.

METHOD OF GUIDELINE VALIDATION

Clinical Validation-Pilot Testing
Internal Peer Review

DESCRIPTION OF METHOD OF GUIDELINE VALIDATION

Institute Partners: System-Wide Review

The guideline annotation, discussion and measurement specification documents undergo thorough review. Written comments are solicited from clinical, measurement, and management experts from within the member groups during an eight-week review period.

Each of the Institute's participating member groups determines its own process for distributing the guideline and obtaining feedback. Clinicians are asked to suggest modifications based on their understanding of the clinical literature coupled with their clinical expertise. Representatives from all departments involved in implementation and measurement review the guideline to determine its operational impact. Measurement specifications for selected measures are developed by the Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement (ICSI) in collaboration with participating member groups following implementation of the guideline. The specifications suggest approaches to operationalizing the measure.

Guideline Work Group

Following the completion of the review period, the guideline work group meets 1 to 2 times to review the input received. The original guideline is revised as necessary and a written response is prepared to address each of the responses received from member groups. Two members of the Committee on Evidence-Based Practice carefully review the input, the work group responses, and the revised draft of the guideline. They report to the entire committee their assessment of four questions: (1) Is there consensus among all ICSI member groups and hospitals on the content of the guideline document? (2) Has the

drafting work group answered all criticisms reasonably from the member groups? (3) Within the knowledge of the appointed reviewer, is the evidence cited in the document current and not out-of-date? (4) Is the document sufficiently similar to the prior edition that a more thorough review (critical review) is not needed by the member group? The committee then either approves the guideline for release as submitted or negotiates changes with the work group representative present at the meeting.

Pilot Test

Member groups may introduce the guideline at pilot sites, providing training to the clinical staff and incorporating it into the organization's scheduling, computer and other practice systems. Evaluation and assessment occurs throughout the pilot test phase, which usually lasts for three to six months. At the end of the pilot test phase, ICSI staff and the leader of the work group conduct an interview with the member groups participating in the pilot test phase to review their experience and gather comments, suggestions, and implementation tools.

The guideline work group meets to review the pilot sites' experiences and makes the necessary revisions to the guideline, the Committee on Evidence-Based Practice reviews the revised guideline and approves it for release.

RECOMMENDATIONS

MAJOR RECOMMENDATIONS

The recommendations for the assessment and management of acute pain are presented in the form of an algorithm with 19 components, accompanied by detailed annotations. Algorithms are provided for: [Assessment of Acute Pain](#) and [Acute Pain Treatment](#); clinical highlights and selected annotations (numbered to correspond with the algorithm) follow.

Class of evidence (A-D, M, R, X) ratings and key conclusion grades (I-III, Not Assignable) are defined at the end of the "Major Recommendations" field.

Clinical Highlights and Recommendations

1. Determine the mechanism of pain (i.e. somatic, visceral, neuropathic) based on the physical examination and detailed history. (Annotation #6)
2. Patients often experience more than one type of pain. (Annotation #6)
3. Intensity of pain is assessed prior to initiation of appropriate treatment, and continually reassessed throughout duration of treatment. (Annotation #3)
4. Somatic pain is well-localized and may be responsive to cold packs, tactile stimulation, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), acetaminophen, opioids, and localized anesthetic (topical or infiltrate). (Annotations #7, 8)
5. Visceral pain is more generalized and is most responsive to opioid treatment. (Annotations #9, 10)
6. Neuropathic pain may be resistant to opioid therapy and consideration should be given to adjuvant therapy such as tricyclic antidepressants and anticonvulsants. (Annotations #11, 12)

Assessment of Acute Pain Algorithm Annotations

1. Patient Has Pain or Is Likely to Have Pain

Pain is undertreated by many practitioners, which leads to serious clinical consequences. This guideline encourages aggressive assessment, treatment and reassessment of pain.

Evidence supporting this recommendation is of classes: B, D, R, X

2. Critical First Steps

Acute pain is not a diagnosis, it is a symptom. Frequently its cause is obvious such as after surgery or an acute trauma. Many times, however, the exact underlying etiology is not clear and a diagnostic work-up is necessary. The guideline developer's work group believes that an interview with the patient or a responsible caregiver is essential. The interview and examination should cover the following:

General History

- History of present illness (HPI)
- Current medications
- Medication allergies
- Past medical history
- Social history

Pain History

- Onset
- Duration
- Quality, character
- Ameliorating and provoking factors
- Patient rating if possible (see Annotation #3)

Clinical Exam

- Observation of response to pain (pre-verbal or cognitively impaired patients): e.g., rubbing a particular area, guarding, facial expression (Refer to "Observer/Caregiver Rating of Pain and Pain Relief" In Discussion #2 in the original guideline document)

Evidence supporting this recommendation is of classes: C, D, R

- Focused physical exam (part of body or region in pain), to include vital signs, especially pulse, respiratory rate, blood pressure
- Functional assessment (Refer to Annotation and Discussion #3, "Pain Assessment" in the original guideline document).

Diagnostic Studies

Lab studies, x-rays or other diagnostic tests may be needed, depending on the results of the history and physical examination.

Specialty Consult

General surgical, orthopedic, anesthesiological or other consultation may be deemed necessary.

Key Patient Education Messages

- The patient and/or caregiver play a critical role in the assessment and management of pain.
- Assessing the type and amount of pain is important to good pain control. This is done by describing and rating the pain. Educate the patient and/or caregiver in the selection and use of an appropriate pain scale.
- Parents can help assess pain in children by what their child says, what their child is doing, and how their child's body is reacting.

3. Pain Assessment

Based on the assumption that patient self-reporting is the "most reliable indicator of the existence and intensity of pain" (National Institutes of Health) the ideal tool for pain will identify the presence of pain and its evolution over time. In addition, tools should be applicable to any person regardless of age, race, creed, socioeconomic status, and psychological or emotional background.

The single dimensional scales measure only pain intensity and by their nature are self-report. The multidimensional scales measure not only the intensity but also the nature and location of the pain and in some cases the impact the pain is having on activity or mood. Refer to the original guideline document for Table 1, "Assessment Tools for Adults," and Table 2, "Assessment Tools for Children."

Evidence supporting this recommendation is of classes: A, B, C, D, R, X

6. Determine Mechanism of Pain (Somatic, Visceral, Neuropathic) and Arrange Diagnostic Work-Up and Treatment

By identifying the type of pain, the provider can more efficiently treat pain by selecting the intervention most appropriate. The clinician should be aware the patient may experience a combination of pain types. See below for an assistive tool in determining mechanism of pain.

Evidence supporting this recommendation is of classes: D, R

Assistive Tool for Determining Type of Pain

Type of Pain			
	Somatic Pain	Visceral Pain	Neuropathic Pain
Location	Localized	Generalized	Radiating or spreading
Patient Description	Pin prick, or stabbing, or sharp	Ache, or pressure, or sharp	Burning, or pricking, or electric like, or lancinating
Mechanism of Pain	A-delta fiber activity. Located in the periphery*	C Fiber activity. Involved deeper innervation*	Dermatomal (peripheral), or central (central)
Clinical Examples	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Superficial laceration • Superficial burns • Intramuscular injections, venous access • Otitis media • Stomatitis • Extensive abrasion 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Periosteum, joints, muscles • Colic and muscle spasm pain** • Sickle cell • Appendicitis • Kidney stone 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Post-surgical • Trigeminal neuralgia • Post-herpetic neuralgia • Diabetic neuropathy • Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) • Amputation • Phantom limb
Most Responsive Treatments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cold packs • Tactile stimulation • Acetaminophen • Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) • Opioids • Local anesthetic (either topically or by infiltration) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NSAIDs • Opioid via any route • Intraspinal local anesthetic agents 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Antidepressants • Anticonvulsants • Antidysrhythmics • Antispasmodics • Anticholinergics • Antihistamines • Antipsychotics • Anticancer drugs • Antivirals • Antifungals • Antibiotics • Antiparasitics • Anticancer drugs • Antivirals • Antifungals • Antibiotics • Antiparasitics

*Most post-operative patients experience A-delta and C fiber pain and respond best to narcotic of any route and NSAIDs.

**Colic and muscle spasms may be less responsive to opioids. Respond best to antispasmodics, NSAIDs, benzodiazepines, baclofen.

***Segmental distribution follows a dermatome chart. This traces the pathway of sensation to its nerve root.

The algorithm acknowledges that in most clinical situations the initial treatment of pain and the diagnostic work-up occur concurrently. In other situations, e.g. central nervous system injury, it may be important to delay treating a patient's pain until the underlying diagnosis is established. These initial efforts to treat pain are based on the clinician's initial hypothesis of the etiology of the patient's pain. See the clinical pearls section in Annotation #13, "Prevention/Intervention."

Acute Pain Treatment Algorithm Annotations

8. Treatment Choices for Somatic Pain

Treatment of somatic pain includes the use of topical therapies, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, acetaminophen, opioids, and local anesthetics.

Evidence supporting this recommendation is of class: R

10. Treatment Choices for Visceral Pain

Treatment choices for visceral pain include nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, opioids (via any route), and intraspinal local anesthetic agents.

Evidence supporting this recommendation is of class: R

12. Treatment Choices for Neuropathic Pain

Neuropathic pain may be resistant to standard opioid therapies or other nociceptive pain treatment strategies. Anticonvulsants and antidepressants are mainstays of therapy. Complaints of continuous burning may best respond to antidepressants, whereas lancinating complaints may best respond to anticonvulsants. The anticonvulsant Gabapentin however, can treat both continued burning and episodic neuropathic pain. Failure to adequately relieve neuropathic pain with one anticonvulsant does not imply that alternative therapies will not work. Please refer to the original guideline document Annotation Appendix D, "Pharmacologic Treatment of Neuropathic Pain" for more information.

Evidence supporting this recommendation is of class: R

13. Prevention/Intervention

The ability to influence a patient's pain experience may be approached in multiple ways. Choices for intervention are varied and frequently involve multiple disciplines. Medications and interventions are selected based on symptomatology and mechanism of pain. Choosing the profile that is the most responsive to the pain complaint and has the least potential for side effects should be done initially. Visceral, somatic and neuropathic pain complaints respond most effectively to different treatments. (See Annotation Appendix A,

"Determining Mechanism of Pain" in the original guideline document.) The route of administration often affects patient compliance and dosing requirements.

Preemptive analgesia may reduce the severity of postoperative pain. This consists of the application of local anesthetics or opioids near the spinal cord, usually by an anesthesiologist, in order to prevent sensitization of the central nervous system.

With proper education and training of patients (see "Key Patient Education Steps and Messages" below) prior to a painful experience, the ability to cope and the outcome of pain treatment may be enhanced.

See Table 3, "Acute Pain Interventions," in the original guideline document for summary of interventions.

Evidence supporting the use of preemptive analgesia is of class: A

Key Patient Education Steps and Messages

- Describe the expected type of pain and how long it will last. (Preparatory Sensory Information - decrease uncertainty and fear of unknown. "Knowledge is power.")
- Individualize the information for the patient.
- Discuss goals of pain management and how these goals help the patient: comfort, quicker recovery, and avoid complications.
- Preventing pain is important to manage pain well. "Stay ahead of the pain."
- Many drug and non-drug treatments can be helpful in preventing and managing pain.
- Inform the patient of when and how to contact health care providers about his/her pain.
- Patients, parents of children with pain, and the health care providers will decide as a team which treatments are best to manage the pain.
- Discuss treatment choices and plan, including schedule of medications, which are most appropriate for the patient.
- Addiction to opioids used in the treatment of acute pain is rare. There are differences among physical addiction, tolerance, and psychological dependence.

Pharmacological Therapy

The use of pharmacological agents is considered to be the mainstay of therapy for acute pain. There are three broad categories of medications to consider when treating the patient with acute pain: non-opioid analgesics (NSAIDs), opioid analgesics and analgesic adjuvants. They are used in this manner:

1. Non-opioid analgesics (NSAIDs):
 - Should be considered initially. Often adequate for mild or moderate pain.

- NSAIDs have significant opioid dose-sparing properties and in turn reduce opioid-related side effects.
- Use with caution in patients with coagulopathies or thrombocytopenia and those who are at risk for bleeding.
- Watch for gastrointestinal effects, especially with these risk factors: age greater than 60 years, previous gastrointestinal events and concomitant corticosteroid use.
- Ketorolac, either parenteral or oral, should be used for no more than 5 days; dose reduction is indicated in the elderly and in those with renal impairment. [Conclusion Grade III: See discussion Appendix B, Conclusion Grading Worksheet -- Annotation #13 (Ketorolac) in the original guideline document].
- See Annotations Appendix C, "Non-opioid Analgesics" the original guideline document.

Evidence supporting these recommendations is of classes: A, B, C, D, M

2. Opioid Analgesics:

- If pain is not adequately controlled with an NSAID or is expected to be moderate to severe, an appropriate opioid should be added to the NSAID.
- In patients with absolute or strong relative contraindications to NSAIDs, an opioid for mild to moderate pain should be considered.
- Morphine is considered to be the standard opioid analgesic.
- Meperidine is a commonly used opioid. Due to the risk of adverse central nervous system effects, meperidine should be reserved for only very brief use in the treatment of acute pain. [Conclusion Grade III: See Discussion Appendix C, Conclusion Grading Worksheet -- Annotation #13 (Meperidine) in the original guideline document].
- See the original guideline document, Annotation Appendix B, "Opioid Analgesics," also "Recognizing Substance Abuse" in Discussion #13.

Evidence supporting these recommendations is of classes: C, D, R

3. Pharmacological analgesic adjuvants:

- Used to complement NSAIDs and opioids; not to be used alone in the treatment of acute pain. Gabapentin, however, can be used alone for treatment of neuropathic pain.
- Some have been shown to enhance the effect of a particular analgesic, such as caffeine when given with aspirin-like drugs; others have analgesic properties themselves, e.g., tricyclic antidepressants and hydroxyzine.
- See the section in Discussion and References #13, "Prevention/Intervention", Pharmacological Therapy - Pharmacological Analgesics Adjuvants in the original guideline document for further discussion of medications used for adjuvant pain management.

Evidence supporting these recommendations is of classes: A, D, R

Further Diagnostic Work-up

Lab studies, x-rays, or other diagnostic tests may be needed, depending on the results of the history and physical examination.

Specialty Consult

General surgical, orthopedic, anesthesiological or other consultation may be deemed necessary.

Procedures

Procedures are used for both diagnostic and therapeutic effects and should be performed by experienced providers.

Policies and Procedures for Safe Medication Use

Policies and procedures regarding safe medication use should be in place.

Adjuvant Therapy

The addition of adjuvant therapies, procedures and pharmaceuticals are frequently helpful in reducing total drug dose requirements and in speeding recovery.

Behavioral/Cognitive Intervention

Behavior and cognitive interventions can be utilized independently or in conjunction with pharmacological pain therapy. Not all interventions are effective for all patients, and determining the best fit can be very difficult.

The extent of pain and anxiety in response to the same medical procedures or painful event varies widely as does the coping skills. Some patients do better with information about the painful procedure before and during, while others prefer not to be told but rather engage in distracting tasks.

In children, the cognitive stage will also influence the understanding and concept of pain. Behavioral and cognitive interventions (desensitization, positive reinforcement, relaxation, preparation, memory change, hypnosis, thought stopping and positive self-statements, distraction, modeling and rehearsal) are detailed in Table 5 of the original guideline document.

In addition to these, other approaches have included:

- Verbal preparation and communication with nurses and doctors.
- Sensorimotor strategies: especially with infants the use of pacifiers, swaddling, rocking and holding.

- Imaginative involvement: using imaginative stories or "pain switches" or "anesthetic gloves."
- Physical strategies: application of heat or cold, massage, immobilization, rest, or exercise.
- Music, art, and play therapies.

Evidence supporting this recommendation is of class: R

Pediatric Clinical Pearls

- Circumcisions: The March 1999 Task Force Report from the American Academy of Pediatrics states, "If a decision for circumcision is made, procedural analgesia should be provided. Dorsal Penile Nerve Block (DPNB), EMLA (Eutectic Mixture of Local Anesthetics), topical lidocaine, and ringblock have all been shown to be efficacious and safe but none completely eliminate the pain of circumcision."
- Infantile colic: Colic is characterized by excessive crying in otherwise healthy infants. Uncertainty regarding its etiology has led to multiple treatments. Oral sucrose in high concentrations has been shown to stimulate the opioid pathways in preterm and term infants, and has been demonstrated to have a significant ameliorating effect on the pain of colic. To obtain a 24-25 percent sucrose solution, dilute 1 teaspoon of table sugar (one packet of restaurant sugar) with 10 cc of water.
- Percutaneous procedures: Eutectic mixture of local anesthetics (EMLA): Mixture of lidocaine and prilocaine applied under occlusive dressing with onset of action of 60-90 minutes. Has been shown to be useful in venipuncture, intravenous access, circumcision and meatotomy. There have been concerns about methemoglobinemia which thus limits its use in neonates or infants. Recent studies in small populations demonstrate little toxicity.
- Intramuscular injections should be avoided if possible; children would rather experience pain.
- Otitis: The ear pain associated with acute middle ear infections has traditionally been ignored or treated with non-opioid analgesics. When compared to olive oil, topical analgesics such as Auralgan Otic Solution (antipyrine, benzocaine, and glycerin) have been shown to provide excellent ear pain reduction. This therapy should never be prescribed if there is a perforation, pressure equalizing tube or otorrhea.
- Tonsillitis/pharyngitis: In a study of 231 children ages 6-12 years with tonsillitis/pharyngitis, ibuprofen was shown to be more effective in relieving the sore throat pain in the first 48 hours than acetaminophen or placebo.

Evidence supporting this recommendation is of classes: A, R

Adult Clinical Pearls

- Acute ureteral colic: Parenteral non-opioid analgesics are more effective than meperidine.
- "As needed" basis: For optimal treatment of acute pain, avoid the use of intramuscular injections ordered on an "as needed" basis. Acute

pain medications should initially be titrated to effect and then given on a scheduled basis.

- Suturing non-end-artery sites: Use TAC (Tetracaine, Adrenaline, and Cocaine solution), or LET (Lidocaine, Epinephrine, and Tetracaine solution). See supporting references in the original guideline document for solution concentrations.
- Head injury and stroke: Avoid strong opioids to allow adequate patient assessment. Strong opioids may also decrease respiration rate, which may adversely affect (increase) intracranial pressure.
- Medication interaction: Oxycodone, Hydrocodone, Codeine and Tramadol may not be effective analgesics when given with other agents that strongly inhibit the Cytochrome P4502D6 liver enzymes. Common agents with this characteristic include the selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors Zoloft (doses greater than 150 mg), Paxil, and Prozac.
- Loading doses should be utilized for the management of acute pain once the underlying causes are known. See Discussion and References #13, "Prevention/Intervention" in the original guideline document for more information on use of loading doses.
- Meperidine: In the treatment of acute pain, meperidine should be used only briefly and via a parenteral route.
- Propoxyphene is no more effective than acetaminophen in acute pain.
- "Road rash": NSAIDs (any route) or local anesthetic can be used.

Evidence supporting these recommendations is of classes: A, C, D, M, R

17. Intolerable Symptoms Secondary to Analgesia?

Reassessment should be performed at regular intervals.

Inpatients: Completed after each pain management intervention, once a sufficient time has elapsed for the treatment to reach peak effect.

General guideline:

Parenteral medication -- 30 minutes

Oral medication -- 60 minutes

Non-pharmacologic intervention -- 30-60 minutes

Outpatients: Instruct patient on when and how to contact care provider regarding efficacy of pain therapy.

Intolerable symptoms that could be related to either the pain medication (particularly the opioid) or other causes include:

- Decrease in mental status
- Confusion or delirium

- Nausea and vomiting
- Constipation or prolonged ileus
- Pruritus
- Urinary retention

The identification of pain through patient self report, or when that's not possible through a behavioral rating scale, will dictate the reduction of the opioid dosage or frequency. However, it should not be assumed that the opioid is always the cause.

The differential for decrease in mental status, confusion, or delirium is vast (see the original guideline document, Annotation Appendix E, "Side Effects"). Nausea and vomiting may be related to physiologic causes and other medication side effects, as well as pain medications. The cause should be determined. Annotation Appendix E, "Side Effects," in the original guideline document presents side effects of pain medications and their management.

Accurate documentation of bowel function should be done by the nurses in the postoperative setting. Constipation could be caused by immobility, all types of medications, metabolism dysfunction, etc. and is best treated from a prevention standpoint rather than after the patient complains. It is usually the belief that prolonged ileus is caused by postoperative opioids. Slowing of bowel function may be due to pain itself. The tendency in the surgical setting is to decrease or stop the opioid if an individual has prolonged ileus. If this is a strong opinion, then efforts need to be continued to control the individual's pain through other means, e.g., local anesthetics, or NSAIDs.

Patient should be given information about possible side effects and other symptoms that should be reported to nurse or provider.

18. Side Effect Management

See the original guideline document, Annotation Appendix E, "Side Effects."

Key patient education messages:

- Medications can cause side effects which can be managed or decreased.
- Side effects pertinent to medications and how to manage.

19. Follow-Up Instructions

Reassessment should be continued at regular intervals.

Inpatients: Completed after each pain management intervention, once a sufficient time has elapsed for the treatment to reach peak effect.

General guideline:

Parenteral medication -- 30 minutes

Oral medication -- 60 minutes

Non-pharmacologic intervention -- 30-60 minutes

Outpatients:

- Upon discharge, the discharge plan identifies the patient's continuing needs
- The discharge plan should be communicated to the patient with regards to appropriate follow-up

Definitions:

Classes of Research Reports:

A. Primary Reports of New Data Collection:

Class A:

- Randomized, controlled trial

Class B:

- Cohort study

Class C:

- Non-randomized trial with concurrent or historical controls
- Case-control study
- Study of sensitivity and specificity of a diagnostic test
- Population-based descriptive study

Class D:

- Cross-sectional study
- Case series
- Case report

B. Reports that Synthesize or Reflect upon Collections of Primary Reports:

Class M:

- Meta-analysis
- Systematic review
- Decision analysis
- Cost-effectiveness analysis

Class R:

- Consensus statement
- Consensus report
- Narrative review

Class X:

- Medical opinion

Conclusion Grades:

Grade I: The evidence consists of results from studies of strong design for answering the question addressed. The results are both clinically important and consistent with minor exceptions at most. The results are free of any significant doubts about generalizability, bias, and flaws in research design. Studies with negative results have sufficiently large samples to have adequate statistical power.

Grade II: The evidence consists of results from studies of strong design for answering the question addressed, but there is some uncertainty attached to the conclusion because of inconsistencies among the results from the studies or because of minor doubts about generalizability, bias, research design flaws, or adequacy of sample size. Alternatively, the evidence consists solely of results from weaker designs for the question addressed, but the results have been confirmed in separate studies and are consistent with minor exceptions at most.

Grade III: The evidence consists of results from studies of strong design for answering the question addressed, but there is substantial uncertainty attached to the conclusion because of inconsistencies among the results of different studies or because of serious doubts about generalizability, bias, design flaws, or adequacy of sample size. Alternatively, the evidence consists solely of results from a limited number of studies of weak design for answering the question addressed.

Grade Not Assignable: There is no evidence available that directly supports or refutes the conclusion.

CLINICAL ALGORITHM(S)

A detailed and annotated clinical algorithm is provided for:

- [Assessment of Acute Pain](#)
- [Acute Pain Treatment](#)

EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS

TYPE OF EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS

The guideline contains an annotated bibliography and discussion of the evidence supporting each recommendation. The type of supporting evidence is classified for selected recommendations (see "Major Recommendations").

In addition, key conclusions contained in the Work Group's algorithm are supported by a grading worksheet that summarizes the important studies pertaining to the conclusion. The type and quality of the evidence supporting

these key recommendations (i.e., choice among alternative therapeutic approaches) is graded for each study.

BENEFITS/HARMS OF IMPLEMENTING THE GUIDELINE RECOMMENDATIONS

POTENTIAL BENEFITS

Overall Benefits

Appropriate medical evaluation and management of acute pain in adults and children resulting in pain relief, minimal medication side effects, and patient/clinician satisfaction

Specific Benefits

Non-Opioid Analgesics (NSAIDs)

- Beneficial for relieving mild to moderate pain with a variety of etiologies, including trauma, post-operative pain and arthritis
- Provide significant opioid dose-sparing properties and in turn reduce opioid-related side effects

Opioid Analgesics

- Beneficial for the treatment of moderate to severe pain from various etiologies
- Beneficial in combination with an NSAID when pain is not controlled by NSAIDs alone.

Analgesic Adjuvants

- Frequently helpful in reducing total drug dose requirements (opioids and NSAIDs) and speeding recovery
- Some of the medications have been shown to enhance the effect of particular analgesics

Subgroups Most Likely to Benefit

Non-Opioid Analgesics

Beneficial to patients with somatic or visceral pain that is mild to moderate in intensity.

Opioid Analgesics

- Beneficial to patients with somatic, visceral or neuropathic pain that is moderate to severe in intensity.
- Beneficial to patients whose pain is not controlled by NSAIDs alone.

Analgesic Adjuvants:

- Tricyclic antidepressants (amitriptyline, imipramine, nortriptyline, desipramine) may be beneficial for patients with diabetic neuropathy and postherpetic neuralgia.
- Antihistamines (hydroxyzine [Vistaril, Atarax]) may be beneficial to counteract nausea or anxiety in patients with chronic cancer pain.
- Benzodiazepines (diazepam [Valium], lorazepam [Ativan]) may be beneficial to cancer patients or those with acute anxiety or muscle spasm associated with acute pain.
- Caffeine (combined with an aspirin-like drug) may be beneficial for patients presenting with uterine cramping, episiotomy pain, dental pain, headaches and other pain syndromes.
- Steroids may be beneficial for:
 - cancer patients with nerve or spinal cord compression secondary to edema
 - patients with malignant lesions of the brachial or lumbosacral plexus for whom large doses of opioids are ineffective
 - moribund patients to promote euphoria, increase appetite and relieve tumor-related pain
- Anticonvulsants (gabapentin, phenytoin, carbamazepine, sodium valproate, clonazepam) may be beneficial to patients with conditions such as trigeminal neuralgia, postherpetic neuralgia, glossopharyngeal neuralgia and posttraumatic neuralgia; nerve injuries caused by cancer or cancer therapy; diabetic neuropathy and migraine prophylaxis.
- Clonidine may be beneficial for patients with cancer pain, particularly neuropathic pain.

POTENTIAL HARMS

Non-Opioid Analgesics (NSAIDs)

- Acetaminophen: hepatotoxicity
- Aspirin: inhibits platelet aggregation, may cause postoperative bleeding
- NSAIDs: gastrointestinal upset, bleeding tendency, nephrotoxicity
- Ketorolac: acute renal failure, gastrointestinal bleeding
- Selective Cox II inhibitors: gastrointestinal upset, liver dysfunction, nephrotoxicity
 - Celecoxib (Celebrex) should be used with caution in patients with sulfa allergy

Opioid Analgesics

- Methadone, long-acting oxycodone, long-acting morphine: nausea and vomiting, sedation, constipation, confusion, sweating, itching, depression
- Codeine may cause more nausea and constipation than other opioids
- Nalbuphine, buprenorphine: may precipitate withdrawal symptoms in opioid-dependent patients
- Meperidine: adverse central nervous system effects including tremors, muscle twitches, dilated pupils, hyperactive reflexes and convulsions
- Tramadol: nausea, sedation

Note: Addiction is rare in patients treated with opioids for acute pain

Analgesic Adjuvants

- Benzodiazepines (diazepam [Valium], lorazepam [Ativan]): sedation, respiratory depression
- Corticosteroids (dexamethasone): hyperglycemia. Chronic use has been associated with weight gain, Cushing's syndrome, proximal myopathy, psychosis and gastrointestinal bleeding. Rapid withdrawal may exacerbate pain.
- Anticonvulsant drugs (sodium valproate, clonazepam): somnolence, cerebellar symptoms
 - Phenytoin (Dilantin): Sedation, dizziness, ataxia, confusion, nausea, gingival hyperplasia, peripheral neuropathy, Stevens-Johnson syndrome
 - Lidocaine: Localized skin irritation
 - Carbamazepine (Tegretol): Sedation, dizziness, ataxia, confusion, nausea, liver toxicity, blood dyscrasia, Stevens-Johnson syndrome
 - Gabapentin (Neurontin): Sedation, dizziness, confusion, peripheral edema, weight gain
- Tricyclic antidepressants (nortriptyline, desipramine, imipramine, amitriptyline, doxepin): Dry mouth, sedation, dizziness, constipation, confusion, urinary hesitancy, orthostatic hypotension, delirium, urinary retention, insomnia
- Phenothiazines (methotrimeprazine, chlorpromazine, promethazine, prochlorperazine): sedation, orthostatic hypotension, tardive dyskinesia, extrapyramidal manifestations (particularly in children)
- Clonidine: bradycardia, hypotension
- Mexiletine (Mexitil): Nausea, dizziness, anxiety
- Tizanidine (Zanaflex): Sedation, dizziness, hypotension, liver function abnormalities
- Eutectic mixture of local anesthetics (EMLA): methemoglobinemia

Medication Interaction

Codeine and tramadol may not be effective when given with other agents that strongly inhibit the cytochrome P4502D6 liver enzymes. Common agents with these characteristic include the selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (Zoloft, Paxil, and Prozac).

Subgroups Most Likely to be Harmed

Non-Opioid Analgesics (NSAIDs)

- Avoid use in patients with history of peptic ulcer disease or renal insufficiency. Use with caution in patients with coagulopathies or thrombocytopenia and those who are at risk for bleeding. Watch for gastrointestinal effects, especially with these risk factors: age greater than 60 years, previous gastrointestinal events and concomitant corticosteroid use.
 - Patients with fever or other evidence of a viral illness should not be treated with aspirin.
 - Ketorolac, either parenteral or oral, should be used for no more than 5 days; dose reduction is indicated in the elderly and in those with renal impairment.

Opioid Analgesics

- Patients with known hypersensitivity to opioids should receive another treatment.
- Avoid strong opioid use in patients with head injury and/or stroke to allow adequate assessment. Opioids may also decrease respiration rate, which may adversely affect (increase) intracranial pressure.

Analgesic Adjuvants

- Tricyclic antidepressants (nortriptyline, desipramine, imipramine, amitriptyline, doxepin) should be used with caution in patients with narrow-angle glaucoma, urinary retention, 2nd and 3rd degree heart block, coronary disease, arrhythmia, known hypersensitivity.
- Desipramine is not recommended for children due to anecdotal reports of sudden death possibly associated with its use.
- Eutectic mixture of local anesthetics (EMLA) has been associated with methemoglobinemia which limits its use in neonates or infants.

CONTRAINDICATIONS

CONTRAINDICATIONS

Opioid Analgesics

- Methadone, long-acting oxycodone, long-acting morphine are contraindicated in patients with known hypersensitivity in situations where opioids are contraindicated
- Tramadol is contraindicated in patients who previously demonstrated sensitivity to the drug or other opioids

Analgesic Adjuvants

- Tricyclic antidepressants (nortriptyline, desipramine, imipramine, amitriptyline, doxepin) use may be contraindicated in patients with conduction abnormalities, those taking anthracycline anti-tumor agents, patients with narrow-angle glaucoma, urinary retention, 2nd and 3rd degree heart block, arrhythmia, hypersensitivity
- Carbamazepine (Tegretol) is contraindicated in patients with liver abnormalities, bone marrow suppression or known sensitivity to tricyclic compounds
- Gabapentin (Neurontin) is contraindicated for patients with renal insufficiency or demonstrated hypersensitivity to the drug or its ingredients.
- Phenytoin (Dilantin) is contraindicated in patients with bradycardia, 2nd and 3rd degree heart block or known hypersensitivity.
- Lidocaine patch 5% (Lidoderm) is contraindicated for patients with known sensitivity to local anesthetics of amide type.
- Mexiletine (Mexitil) is contraindicated for patients with 2nd and 3rd degree heart block or arrhythmia.
- Tizanidine (Zanaflex) is contraindicated for patients with liver abnormalities or known hypersensitivity.

QUALIFYING STATEMENTS

QUALIFYING STATEMENTS

- This clinical guideline should not be construed as medical advice or medical opinion related to any specific facts or circumstances. Patients are urged to consult a health care professional regarding their own situations and any specific medical questions they may have.
- These clinical guidelines are designed to assist clinicians by providing an analytical framework for the evaluation and treatment of patients, and are not intended either to replace a clinician's judgment or to establish a protocol for all patients with a particular condition. A guideline will rarely establish the only approach to a problem.
- The guideline authors acknowledge that assessments of pain in the pre-verbal, heavily medicated, ventilated, non-English speaking and cognitively impaired are challenging. At these times it is necessary to form clinical judgements regarding the patient's potential level of discomfort. Observer or caregiver ratings of pain and of the relief of pain with medical therapy are efficient in these clinical settings.
- Chemically dependent patients are undertreated with opioids when they have surgery. Nurses and doctors are typically unaware of the amount of medication it takes to actually achieve analgesia in a chemically dependent patient. When providers have to administer large doses of opioid to control pain, they may be afraid of causing respiratory depression and potentially enhancing the addiction.
- In 1980 a landmark report was published by Porter and Jick indicating that addiction is rare in patients treated with opioids for acute pain. Savage, 2002 emphasizes the need for proper assessment in these patients. Nevertheless there is an overwhelming concern about causing addiction in someone with acute pain. This overestimation of the risk of addiction originates from an inadequate understanding of the characteristics that define this syndrome and inappropriate extrapolation of information derived from the addict population.

IMPLEMENTATION OF THE GUIDELINE

DESCRIPTION OF IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY

Once a guideline is approved for release, a member group can choose to concentrate on the implementation of that guideline. When four or more groups choose the same guideline to implement and they wish to collaborate with others, they may form an action group.

In the action group, each medical group sets specific goals they plan to achieve in improving patient care based on the particular guideline(s). Each medical group shares its experiences and supporting measurement results within the action group. This sharing facilitates a collaborative learning environment. Action group learnings are also documented and shared with interested medical groups within the collaborative.

Currently, action groups may focus on one guideline or a set of guidelines such as hypertension, lipid treatment and tobacco cessation.

Detailed measurement strategies are presented in the original guideline document to help close the gap between clinical practice and the guideline recommendations. Summaries of the measures are provided in the National Quality Measures Clearinghouse (NQMC).

Key Implementation Recommendations

The following system changes were identified by the guideline work group as key strategies for health care systems to incorporate in support of the implementation of this guideline.

1. All patients presenting with a complaint of acute pain are assessed for origin of pain through physical examination and detailed history.
2. An individualized care plan is developed for each patient to ensure adequate pain control while monitoring for signs of psychological and/or physical dependence.

RELATED NQMC MEASURES

- [Assessment and management of acute pain: after 48 hours, the percentage of patients who rate pain greater than 4 \(on a 10-point scale\) or at an unacceptable level to patient.](#)

INSTITUTE OF MEDICINE (IOM) NATIONAL HEALTHCARE QUALITY REPORT CATEGORIES

IOM CARE NEED

Getting Better

IOM DOMAIN

Effectiveness

Patient-centeredness

IDENTIFYING INFORMATION AND AVAILABILITY

BIBLIOGRAPHIC SOURCE(S)

Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement (ICSI). Assessment and management of acute pain. Bloomington (MN): Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement (ICSI); 2004 Mar. 66 p. [152 references]

ADAPTATION

Not applicable: The guideline was not adapted from another source.

DATE RELEASED

2000 Oct (revised 2004 Mar)

GUIDELINE DEVELOPER(S)

Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement - Private Nonprofit Organization

GUIDELINE DEVELOPER COMMENT

Organizations participating in the Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement (ICSI): Affiliated Community Medical Centers, Allina Medical Clinic, Altru Health System, Aspen Medical Group, Avera Health, CentraCare, Columbia Park Medical Group, Community-University Health Care Center, Dakota Clinic, ENT SpecialtyCare, Fairview Health Services, Family HealthServices Minnesota, Family Practice Medical Center, Gateway Family Health Clinic, Gillette Children's Specialty Healthcare, Grand Itasca Clinic and Hospital, Hamm Clinic, HealthEast Care System, HealthPartners Central Minnesota Clinics, HealthPartners Medical Group and Clinics, Hennepin Faculty Associates, Hutchinson Area Health Care, Hutchinson Medical Center, Lakeview Clinic, Mayo Clinic, Mercy Hospital and Health Care Center, MeritCare, Minnesota Gastroenterology, Montevideo Clinic, North Clinic, North Memorial Health Care, North Suburban Family Physicians, NorthPoint Health & Wellness Center, Northwest Family Physicians, Olmsted Medical Center, Park Nicollet Health Services, Quello Clinic, Ridgeview Medical Center, River Falls Medical Clinic, St. Mary's/Duluth Clinic Health System, St. Paul Heart Clinic, Sioux Valley Hospitals and Health System, Southside Community Health Services, Stillwater Medical Group, SuperiorHealth Medical Group, University of Minnesota Physicians, Winona Clinic, Winona Health

ICSI, 8009 34th Avenue South, Suite 1200, Bloomington, MN 55425; telephone, (952) 814-7060; fax, (952) 858-9675; e-mail: icsi.info@icsi.org; Web site: www.icsi.org.

SOURCE(S) OF FUNDING

The following Minnesota health plans provide direct financial support: Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Minnesota, HealthPartners, Medica, Metropolitan Health Plan, PreferredOne, and UCare Minnesota. In-kind support is provided by the Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement's (ICSI) members.

GUIDELINE COMMITTEE

Committee on Evidence-Based Practice

COMPOSITION OF GROUP THAT AUTHORED THE GUIDELINE

Work Group Members: Paul Carns, MD (Work Group Leader) (Mayo Clinic) (Anesthesiology); Howard Stang, MD (HealthPartners Medical Group) (Pediatrics); Christopher Kaye, PA-C (Stillwater Medical Group) (Physician Assistant); Kay Greenlee, MSN, RN (CentraCare) (Clinical Nurse Specialist); Dianne Brundage, PharmD (Park Nicollet Health Services) (Pharmacy); Kathy Chick, CNS (Mayo Clinic) (Health Education); Beth Green, MBA, RRT (Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement) (Measurement/Implementation Advisor); Nancy Greer, PhD

(Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement) (Evidence Analyst); Pam Peitruszewski, MA (Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement) (Facilitator)

FINANCIAL DISCLOSURES/CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

In the interest of full disclosure, ICSI has adopted a policy of revealing relationships work group members have with companies that sell products or services that are relevant to this guideline topic. The reader should not assume that these financial interests will have an adverse impact on the content of the guideline. Readers of the guideline may assume that only work group members listed below have potential conflict of interest to disclose.

Diane Brundage, PharmD has significant financial interest in GlaxoSmith Kline.

Chris Kaye, PA-C has not returned disclosure information.

No other work group members have potential conflicts of interest to disclose.

ICSI's conflict of interest policy and procedures are available for review on ICSI's website at www.icsi.org.

GUIDELINE STATUS

This is the current release of the guideline.

This guideline updates a previously released version: Assessment and management of acute pain. Bloomington (MN): Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement (ICSI); 2002 Aug. 74 p.

GUIDELINE AVAILABILITY

Electronic copies: Available from the [Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement \(ICSI\) Web site](http://www.icsi.org).

Print copies: Available from ICSI, 8009 34th Avenue South, Suite 1200, Bloomington, MN 55425; telephone, (952) 814-7060; fax, (952) 858-9675; Web site: www.icsi.org; e-mail: icsi.info@icsi.org.

AVAILABILITY OF COMPANION DOCUMENTS

The following is available:

- Assessment and management of acute pain. In: ICSI pocket guidelines. April 2004 edition. Bloomington (MN): Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement (ICSI), 2004 Mar.

Print copies: Available from ICSI, 8009 34th Avenue South, Suite 1200, Bloomington, MN 55425; telephone, (952) 814-7060; fax, (952) 858-9675; Web site: www.icsi.org; e-mail: icsi.info@icsi.org.

PATIENT RESOURCES

None available

NGC STATUS

This NGC summary was completed by ECRI on August 26, 2002. The information was verified by the guideline developer on September 23, 2002. This summary was updated by ECRI on March 14, 2003. The updated information was verified by the guideline developer on May 15, 2003. This summary was updated again by ECRI on July 28, 2004.

COPYRIGHT STATEMENT

This NGC summary (abstracted Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement [ICSI] Guideline) is based on the original guideline, which is subject to the guideline developer's copyright restrictions.

The abstracted ICSI Guidelines contained in this Web site may be downloaded by any individual or organization. If the abstracted ICSI Guidelines are downloaded by an individual, the individual may not distribute copies to third parties.

If the abstracted ICSI Guidelines are downloaded by an organization, copies may be distributed to the organization's employees but may not be distributed outside of the organization without the prior written consent of the Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement, Inc.

All other copyright rights in the abstracted ICSI Guidelines are reserved by the Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement, Inc. The Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement, Inc. assumes no liability for any adaptations or revisions or modifications made to the abstracts of the ICSI Guidelines.

© 1998-2004 National Guideline Clearinghouse

Date Modified: 11/15/2004

FIRSTGOV



